## **SYNTHESIS OF 4-SUBSTITUTED 2-CARENES IN NOVEL IMIDAZOLINIUM IONIC LIQUIDS**

**F. Macaev,<sup>1</sup> K. Gavrilov,<sup>2</sup> V. Muntyanu,<sup>1</sup> L. Vlad,<sup>1</sup> L. Bets,<sup>1</sup> S. Pogrebnoi,<sup>1</sup> and A. Barba1**  **E. Styngach,<sup>1</sup>** UDC 547.596/598+547.854.021

*4-Acetyl-, 4-acetoxymethyl-, and 4-hydroxymethyl-2-carenes were synthesized using novel ionic liquids.*

**Key words:** (+)-3-carene, 4-substituted 2-carenes, 2-(1*H*-1-imidazolyl)ethylcarbonitrile, ionic liquids.

Derivatives of the monoterpene 3-carene (**1**) such as (+)-4α-acetyl-2-carene (**2**), (+)-4α-hydroxymethyl-2 carene (3), and  $(+)$ -4 $\alpha$ -acetoxymethyl-2-carene (4) are widely used to synthesize optically active 1,3-disubstituted 2,2-dimethylcyclopropanes [1], including precursors of commercially important insecticides [2-6]. Known methods for preparing 2-4 include heating 1 with ZnCl<sub>2</sub> in Ac<sub>2</sub>O solution or with paraformaldehyde in AcOH solution [7-9]. Syntheses of **5** and **6** from the corresponding epimers **2** and **3** have also been reported [3, 7].

Ionic liquids (IL) have in the last decade become of interest in organic synthesis. However, examples of the synthesis of 4-substituted 2-carenes using IL have not appeared [1, 10-14]. Furthermore, researchers have paid attention mainly to testing commercially available IL salts of *N*,*N*′-dialkyl substituted imidazoles whereas functionalized analogs, e.g., carbonitriles, have been little studied [11].

Herein we report the ability to synthesize 4-substituted 2-carenes based on **1** using salts **8**-**10** as catalyst and solvent. These were prepared using 2-(1*H*-1-imidazolyl)ethylcarbonitrile (**7**).



**8:**  $X = Br$ ; **9:**  $X = BF_4$ ; **10:**  $X = PF_6$ 

It was found that holding an equimolar mixture of 1, Ac<sub>2</sub>O, and 6 mole % 8, 9, or 10 at +50°C enhances the Kondakov reaction.

The catalytic activity of melts of the synthesized imidazole salts is probably due to initial formation of an acylium ion from Ac2O that involves the nitrile associated with the anion [14, 15]. Table 1 gives the reaction conditions and yields of **2** and **5**.

Judging from GC data and the integrated intensities of the *gem*-dimethyl and acetyl groups in the PMR spectrum, the reaction product is a mixture of two compounds in a 95:5 ratio. Signals assigned to the major product agreed with literature data for **2** [7] whereas those for the minor product agreed well with those assigned to 4β-acetyl-2-carene (**5**). Moreover, the difference in the chemical shifts of the geminal methyls of the minor and major isomers at 0.23 and 0.16 ppm, respectively, in combination with the position of the H-3 signal provides additional confirmation of the proposed structures [7].

The carbonium ion formed by addition of the acyl cation to the  $\Delta^3$ -double bond of 1 is stabilized by elimination of a proton to regenerate a  $\Delta^2$ -double bond (Scheme 1).

<sup>1)</sup> Institute of Chemistry, Academy of Sciences of Moldova, ul. Akademicheskaya, 3, Kishinev, MD-2028, Republic of Moldova; 2) S. I. Esenin Ryazan′ State University, fax 373-22-73-99-54, e-mail: flmacaev@cc.acad.md. Translated from Khimiya Prirodnykh Soedinenii, No. 2, pp. 114-116, March-April, 2007. Original article submitted November 13, 2006.

TABLE 1. Reaction Conditions and Yield of **2** and **5**



The preferential formation of **2** is due to the directing effect of the 2,2-dimethylcyclopropane group [7]. Carrying out the reaction in a melt of **8** halves the time and slightly increases the yield. If the reaction is carried out in a melt of **9** or **10**, the 2,2-dimethylcyclopropane ring opens and produces a complicated product mixture in addition to the acetylation reaction.

Attempted hydroxymethylation of **1** using paraformaldehyde in a melt of the aforementioned salts or with a catalytic amount of ZnCl<sub>2</sub> added to the reaction mixture was unsuccessful. Only for a melt of 9 did addition of a stoichiometric amount of AcOH lead to a Prins reaction. The α-hydroxy carbonium ion produced by binding of a proton to formaldehyde reacts with **1** to give the β-hydroxy carbonium ion that is stabilized by elimination of a proton to regenerate a  $\Delta^2$ -double bond.



The reaction products were isolated by column chromatography over silica gel. The less polar fraction contained **4** (38% yield), the physical chemical constants of which were analogous to those published [7-9]. The polar fraction was a mixture of compounds in a 9:1 ratio according to GC and signal intensities of the *gem*-dimethyl group in the PMR spectrum. The positions of the characteristic singlets for three methyls at 0.87, 1.05, 1.75 and 0.85, 0.96, and 1.69 ppm agreed with those reported previously [7-9] for epimeric 4,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-4-en-3-ylmethanols **3** and **6**.

Thus, 4-substituted 2-carenes were synthesized from (+)-3-carene using novel 1-(2-ethylcarbonitrile)-3-propyl-1*H*imidazol-3-ium salts, which differ from the traditional solvents  $(Ac<sub>2</sub>O, AcOH)$  in being nonvolatile and catalytic.

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

IR spectra were recorded on a Specord 75 spectrophotometer; PMR and 13C NMR spectra, on Bruker AC-E 200 (200.13 and 50.32 MHz) and Bruker AC-80 (80 and 20 MHz) spectrometer as 2-3% solutions in CDCl<sub>3</sub> or DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> with TMS internal standard. Specific rotation was measured on a A1-EPO polarimeter. GC was performed on a Chrom-5 chromatograph with a FI detector, glass column  $(1.2 \times 3 \text{ mm})$ , SE-30 (5%) stationary phase on Chromaton N-AW-DMCS (0.16-0.20 mm), and He carrier gas (40 cm<sup>3</sup>/min flow rate). Column chromatography used silica gel (SiO<sub>2</sub>) L 40/100, 100/160  $\mu$  (Czech Rep.) and 40/63 µ (Fluka); TLC, Silufol plates (Czechoslovakia). Compounds were detected by phosphomolybdic acid (5%) in EtOH with subsequent heating or dipping of plates in acidified aqueous  $KMnO_4$  (2%). Imidazole, acrylonitrile,  $KBF_4$ , and  $KPF_6$  were reagent grade (Aldrich).

(+)-(1*S*,6*R*)-3,7,7-Trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-2-ene (**1**, Aldrich, 90%) gave  $n_D^{20}$  1.4726 and  $[\alpha]_D^{26}$  +15.7° (*l* = 1, without solvent).

**Synthesis of a Mixture of [(1***R***,3***R***,6***S***)-4,7,7-Trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-4-en-3-yl]-1-ethanol (2) and [(1***R***,3***S***,6***S***)- 4,7,7-Trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-4-en-3-yl]-1-ethanol (5).** A mixture of **2** and **5** was prepared by two methods. a) A mixture of **1** (1 g, 7.3 mmol) and Ac2O (0.83 g, 8 mmol) was treated with **8**, **9**, or **10** (0.44 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred with heating [50-55°C, TLC monitoring, benzene: $\text{CCl}_4$  (1:1) eluent] and extracted with hexane (3 × 10 mL). The extract was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl solution and dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Solvent was distilled off. The solid (1.1 g) was purified over a  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  (45 g) column with elution by hexane to afford a colorless mixture of 2 and 5 (for yield, see Table 1),  $n_D^{20}$  1.4869, [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>20</sup> +346.5° (*c* 1.33, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O. PMR spectrum of the major isomer (80 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ, ppm, J/Hz): 0.79, 1.02 (3H each, s, H-7, H-8), 0.93-1.05 (2H, m, H-1, H-6), 1.18-1.68 (2H, m, H-2), 1.73 (3H, s, H-4), 2.08 (3H, s, H-9), 3.18, 3.22 (1H, dd, H-3,  $J_{3.2\alpha} = 6.4$ ,  $J_{3.2\beta} = 10.6$ ), 5.4-5.6 (1H, m, H-5).

b) Stirring **1** (1 g, 7.3 mmol) and Ac2O (0.83 g, 8 mmol) in **8**, **9**, or **10** (3 g) and work up analogously to that described in a) gave a mixture of **2** and **5** identical to that described above.

**Synthesis of (1***R***,3***S***,6***S***)-4,7,7-Trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-4-en-3-ylmethyl Acetate (4).** Stirring a mixture of **1** (1.36 g, 10 mmol), AcOH (0.6 g, 10 mmol), and paraformaldehyde (0.3 g, 10 mmol) at 50-55°C in **9** (5 g) for 20 h [TLC monitoring, CHCl<sub>3</sub>:acetone (9.5:0.5) eluent] and extraction by ether ( $3 \times 50$  mL) gave a solid (1.8 g) that was chromatographed over a column of SiO<sub>2</sub> (60 g) with elution by hexane to afford colorless **4** (0.79 g), yield 38%,  $[\alpha]_D^2{}^0 +132^\circ$  (*c* 3.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>),  $n_D^{20}$  1.4765,  $C_{13}H_{20}O_2$ ; lit. [8]  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +122° and [9]  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +133.6°. IR spectrum (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1380, 1385 (CMe<sub>2</sub>), 1670  $(C=CH)$ , 1740  $(CO<sub>2</sub>)$ .

PMR spectrum (200.13 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ, ppm, J/Hz): 0.87, 1.06 (3H each, s, Me<sub>2</sub>C), 0.8-1.08 (2H, m, H-1, H-6), 1.69-1.72 (3H, m, MeC=), 1.82-2.2 (2H, m, H-2), 2.06 (3H, s, MeCO), 2.35-2.55 (1H, m, H-3), 3.92, 4.03 (2H, dd,  $J_{3,2\alpha} = 2.1$ ,  $J_{3,2\beta} = 6.4$ , CH<sub>2</sub>O), 5.42-5.58 (1H, m, H-5).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (20 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 15.01 and 22.65 [C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], 16.90 (C-6), 20.82 (CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 21.80 (C-2), 23.11  $(C-7)$ , 37.60  $(C-3)$ , 23.24  $(C-1)$ , 27.61  $(CH_3-C=)$ , 65.86  $(CH_2O)$ , 122.12  $(C-5)$ , 136.04  $(C-4)$ , 170.76  $(CO_2)$ .

**Synthesis of a Mixture of (1***R***,3***R***,6***S***)-4,7,7-Trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-4-en-3-ylmethanol (3) and (1***R***,3***S***,6***S***)- 4,7,7-Trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-4-en-3-ylmethanol (6).** Elution of the hydroxymethylation products (1.36 g) of **1** (see synthesis of **4**) by hexane:ethylacetate (7:3) gave a mixture of **3** and **6** (0.48 g), yield 29%,  $[\alpha]_D^2{}^0 +101.9^\circ$  (*c* 1.84, CHCl<sub>3</sub>),  $C_{11}H_{18}O.$ 

PMR spectrum of the major isomer (80 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ, ppm, J/Hz): 0.87, 1.05 (3H each, s, H-7, H-8), 0.7-1.08 (2H, m, H-1, H-6), 1.75 (3H, s, H-4), 1.81-2.13 (2H, m, H-2), 2.37-2.60 (1H, m, H-3), 3.92, 4.03 (2H, dd,  $J_{3,9\alpha} = 2.0$ ,  $J_{3,9\beta} = 6.4$ , H-9), 4.7 (1H, OH), 5.49-5.6 (1H, m, H-5).

**Synthesis of 2-(1***H***-1-Imidazolyl)ethylcarbonitrile (7).** A solution of imidazole (40 g, 0.59 mol) in toluene (80 mL) was treated with acrylonitrile (33.85 g, 0.59 mol) and  $Et_3N$  (0.1 mL). The mixture was stirred at 60-65°C for 30 h. The solvent was distilled off to afford **7** (oil, 71 g, 99.75%),  $C_6H_7N_3$ . IR spectrum (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2250 (CN).

PMR spectrum (80 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>,  $\delta$ , ppm, J/Hz): 2.69 (2H, t, J = 6.5, H-1, propionitrile), 4.13 (2H, t, J = 6.94, H-2, propionitrile), 6.88-7.01 (2H, m, H-4, H-5, imidazole), 7.43 (1H, s, H-1, imidazole).

**Synthesis of 1-(2-Ethylcarbonitrile)-3-propyl-1***H***-imidazol-3-ium Bromide (8).** A mixture of **7** (2.13 g, 0.018 mol) and propylbromide (2.17 g, 0.022 mol) in acetone (5 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 15 h [TLC, CHCl<sub>3</sub>:propan-2-ol (3:7) eluent]. Solvent and the excess of starting bromide were distilled off to afford **8** (4.14 g of salt), yield 96.5%, yellow oil, C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>14</sub>BrN<sub>3</sub>. IR spectrum (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 630 (Br), 1460, 1565 (C=C), 2250 (CN), 740, 2890, 2940, 2965 (aliphatic).

PMR spectrum (80 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, δ, ppm, J/Hz): 0.82 (3H, t, J = 7.4, H-3, propyl), 1.6-1.91 (2H, m, H-2, propyl), 3.30 (2H, t, J = 6.5, H-1, propionitrile), 4.29 (2H, t, J = 6.4, H-2, propionitrile), 4.56 (2H, t, J = 6.5, H-1, propyl), 7.8-7.9 (2H, m ,H-4, H-5, imidazole), 9.47 (1H, s, H-2, imidazole.

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (20 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 10.23 (C-3, propyl), 18.87 (C-1, propionitrile), 22.74 (C-2, propyl), 44.42 (C-1, propionitrile), 50.45 (C-1, propyl), 117.54 (C-4, imidazole), 118.23 (CN), 122.42 (C-5, imidazole), 122.70 (C-2, imidazole).

**Synthesis of 1-(2-Ethylcarbonitrile)-3-propyl-1***H***-imidazol-3-ium Tetrafluoroborate (9).** A solution of **8** (25 g, 0.102 mol) in acetone (25 mL) was treated in portions (4 g) with  $KBF<sub>4</sub>$  (12.85 g, 0.102 mol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 h. The solvent was distilled off. The solid was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 70 mL). The combined extract was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was distilled off to afford 9 (22.69 g), yield 88.3%, yellow oil, C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>14</sub>BF<sub>4</sub>N<sub>3</sub>. IR spectrum (ν, cm<sup>−</sup>1): 1020 (BF), 1455, 1570 (C=C), 2260 (CN), 740, 2895, 2985 (aliphatic).

PMR spectrum (80 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, δ, ppm, J/Hz): 0.79 (3H, t, J = 7.49, H-3, propyl), 1.65-1.92 (2H, m, H-2, propyl), 3.34 (2H, t, J = 6.64, H-1, propionitrile), 4.20 (2H, t, J = 6.95, H-2, propionitrile), 4.57 (2H, t, J = 6.41, H-1, propyl), 7.9-8.03 (2H, m, H-4, H-5, imidazole), 9.58 (1H, s, H-2, imidazole).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (20 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 10.32 (C-3, propyl), 18.86 (C-1, propionitrile), 22.82 (C-2, propyl), 44.50 (C-1, propionitrile), 50.55 (C-1, propyl), 117.62 (C-4, imidazole), 118.40 (CN), 122.49 (C-5, imidazole), 122.79 (C-2, imidazole).

**Synthesis of 1-(2-ethylcarbonitrile)-3-propyl-1***H***-imidazol-3-ium hexafluorophosphate (10)** was prepared analogously to **9** using equimolar amounts of **8** and KPF<sub>6</sub>. Yield 96.6%, yellow oil, C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>14</sub>F<sub>6</sub>N<sub>3</sub>P. IR spectrum (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 850 (PF), 1460, 1570 (C=C), 2260 (CN), 750, 2895, 2995 (aliphatic). PMR spectrum (80 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, δ, ppm, J/Hz): 0.84 (3H, t, J = 7.50, H-3, propyl), 1.58-1.94 (2H, m, H-2, propyl), 3.15 (2H, t, J = 6.64, H-1, ethyl), 4.14 (2H, t, J = 6.95, H-2, propionitrile), 4.48 (2H, t, J = 6.42, H-1, propyl), 7.66-7.77 (2H, m, H-4, H-5, imidazole), 9.16 (1H, s, H-2, imidazole).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (20 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 10.45 (C-3, propyl), 19.01 (C-1, propionitrile), 22.96 (C-2, propyl), 44.63 (C-1, propionitrile), 50.74 (C-1, propyl), 117.77 (C-4, imidazole), 120.30 (CN), 122.85 (C-5, imidazole), 122.91 (C-2, imidazole).

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The work was supported by Moldova—Russia projects No. 06.21 CRF and No. 06-03-90898-Mol-a.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. F. Z. Macaev and A. V. Malkov, *Tetrahedron*, **62**, 9 (2006).
- 2. F. Z. Macaev, *Izv. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Khim.*, **8**, 1480 (2000).
- 3. F. Z. Macaev, F. Z. Galin, and G. A. Tolstikov, *Izv. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Khim.*, **3**, 517 (1995).
- 4. F. Z. Macaev, F. Z. Galin, and G. A. Tolstikov, *Izv. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Khim.*, **2**, 305 (1995).
- 5. G. A. Tolstikov, F. Z. Galin, F. Z. Macaev, V. N. Ignatyuk, V. S. Sultanova, and R. G. Davletov, *Zh. Org. Khim.*, **26**, 1671 (1990).
- 6. G. A. Tolstikov, F. Z. Galin, F. Z. Macaev, and V. N. Ignatyuk, *Zh. Org. Khim.*, **25**, 2633 (1989).
- 7. P. J. Kropp, D. C. Hecker, and T. J. Flant, *Tetrahedron*, **24**, 1385 (1968).
- 8. G. Ohloff, H. Farnow, and W. Philipp, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, **6132**, 43 (1958).
- 9. M. Muhlstadt and P. Richter, *Chem. Ber.*, **100**, 1892 (1967).
- 10. J. H. Davis, *Chem. Lett.*, **33**, 1072 (2004).
- 11. N. Jain, A. Kumar, S. Chauhan, and S. M. S. Chauhan, *Tetrahedron*, **61**, 1015 (2005).
- 12. C. Baudequin, J. Baudoux, J. Levillan, D. Cahard, A. C. Gaumont, and J. C. Plaquevent, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, **14**, 3081 (2003).
- 13. K. Binnemans, *Chem. Rev.*, **105**, 4148 (2005).
- 14. I. J. B. Lin and C. S. Vasam, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **690**, 3498 (2005).
- 15. K. Nakanishi, *Infrared Absorption Spectroscopy. Practical*, Holden—Day, San Francisco (1962).